

MAY 1 9 2022

A. Behrmann

HAMMONDLAW, P.C. Julian Hammond (SBN 268489) jhammond@hammondlawpc.com Polina Brandler (SBN 269086) pbrandler@hammondlawpc.com Ari Cherniak (SBN 290071) acherniak@hammondlawpc.com 1201 Pacific Ave Suite 600 Tacoma WA 98402 (310) 601-6766 (310) 295-2385 (Fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

DEAN PARSONS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY, a California Non-Profit Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: CVRI2000104

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: May 19, 2022 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept. S302



This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Class Representative's Enhancement Award. The Motions, having been fully considered by the Court, are ruled upon as follows:

- 1. The Class Action Settlement Agreement, filed on August 11, 2021 as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Julian Hammond In Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the Amendment to the Class Action Settlement Agreement, filed on September 23, 2021 as **Exhibit 1** to the Supplemental Declaration of Julian Hammond In Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (collectively referred to as the Settlement Agreement"), is fully and finally approved and is hereby incorporated by reference and all defined terms therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(d), this Court makes final the conditional class certification contained in the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and thus certifies the following classes for the purposes of this settlement: (a) the "Adjunct Class" defined as adjunct lecturers employed by La Sierra University ("Defendant" or "LSU") in California from November 12, 2016 through November 15, 2021; and (b) the "Reimbursement Class" defined as all of LSU employees in California who worked remotely from March 4, 2020 until November 15, 2021.
- 3. Plaintiff is appointed and designated, for all purposes, as Class Representative, and HammondLaw, P.C., is appointed and designated as counsel for the Class. The Court finds that attorneys for the Class are experienced class action litigators and have expressed the view that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, which further supports approval of the Settlement.
- 4. The Court hereby finds that Class Notice has been sent to Class Members as previously ordered by the Court, and that such Notice fairly and adequately described the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the manner in which Class Members could object to or opt-out of the settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and complied fully with California Rule of Court 3.769, and all other applicable laws.
- 5. In response to the Notice, the following Class Members requested exclusion from the Reimbursement Class: Kenichiro Aiso, Steven Eric Anderson, Christine Bartholomew, Melissa Brotton, Adam Michael DesJardins, Joy Fehr, Marlene Ferreras, Melissa De La Fuente, Lawrence T. Geraty, Mary Hahn-Young, Raymond Hurst, Christian Johnston, John Jones, Lourdes Morales-Gudmundsson, Cheng Ng, Liza Ngenye, Jill K Rasmussen, Alfredo Takashi Suzuki, and William Wellwood. The following

Class Members requested exclusion from the Adjunct Class: John Chen, Dubravka Hajdin, Christian Johnston, Gerard Kiemeney, JoAnne LaFever, Robert Kevan Metcalfe, and Lourdes Morales-Gudmundsson.

- 6. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be given final approval. Accordingly, the Court hereby determines that all Class Members who did not file a timely and proper request to be excluded from the settlement shall be deemed to have released the Released Parties from all Released Claims.
- 7. In response to the Notice, one Class Member, Lisa Goolsby, submitted an Objection Form. The statement in the objection form provides no basis for finding the settlement unfair. Therefore, the Court overrules Ms. Goolsby's objection.
- 8. In response to the Notice, two Adjunct Class Members, Gerard Kiemeney and Steven Eric Anderson, submitted both an Objection Form and Exclusion Form. CPT requested further clarification from these Class Members to confirm their intent and both Class Members confirmed that their objection forms should be disregarded and they wished to be excluded from the settlement. Accordingly, both of these Class Members are excluded from the Settlement and their objections are disregarded.
- 9. The Court hereby finally and unconditionally approves the Settlement Agreement, and enters judgment, and specifically:
 - a. Approves the Gross Settlement of \$578,220
 - b. Approves the application for Class Representative's enhancement award of \$7,500
- c. Approves Class Counsels' request for an award of \$192,740, representing 1/3 of the Gross Settlement Amount, as reasonable attorneys' fees;
- d. Approves Class Counsel's hourly rates as reasonable, and within the range of market rates that attorneys with similar levels of skill, experience and reputation for handling matters of similar complexity; and finds that the fees' request is justified under the lodestar/multiplier analysis.
- e. Approves Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of litigation costs of \$19,405.94;
- f. Approves payment to CPT, Inc., the Settlement Administrator, of \$13,500 as costs of settlement administration; and
- g. Approves the allocation of \$15,000 as payment for penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorney Generals Act ("PAGA"), and further approves of payment of \$11,250 to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency for its portion of the PAGA penalties;

- h. Approves the payment from the Net Settlement of amounts determined by the Settlement Administrator to be due to the Class Members. All envelopes transmitting the settlement checks shall bear the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED." All settlement check shall be negotiable for 180 days following the date of mailing. CPT shall mail a reminder postcard to all Class Members whose check has not been negotiated within 60 days from the date of mailing. If (i) any of the Class Members are current employees of the Defendant, (ii) the settlement check mailed to those Class Members is returned to CPT as being undeliverable, and (iii) CPT is unable to locate a valid mailing address, CPT shall arrange with the Defendant to have those distributions delivered to the Class Members at their place of employment.
 - i. Approves the Releases contained in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Settlement Administrator shall give Notice of Entry of Judgment by promptly posting this Judgment and Order on the Settlement Administrator's website.
- Plaintiff shall submit a report to the court including total amount paid to the Class, as well as a report about the status of any unresolved issues and any other matters appropriate to bring to the Court's attention. The report shall be in the form of a declaration from the Settlement Administrator and describe (i) the date the checks were mailed, (ii) the total number of checks mailed to class members, (iii) the average amount of those checks, (iv) the number of checks that remain uncashed, (v) the total value of those uncashed checks, (vi) the average amount of the uncashed checks, and (vii) the nature and date of the disposition of those unclaimed funds. Plaintiff shall also submit an amended judgment directing payment of the sum of the uncashed checks to the designated *cy pres* beneficiary. Interdisciplinary Center for Healthy Workplaces, 2199 Addison St, 50 University Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-7358.
- 12. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), the Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the litigation to enforcing the terms of the judgment, and supervising, implementing, interpreting, and enforcing this Final Approval Order and the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.

Dated:

MAY 1 9 2022

\$UPERIOR COURT JUDGE